

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/435,562	-	11/08/1999	ERIK J. VAN DER BURG	MVMDINC.001C 5387	
20995	7590	01/02/2003			
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEE	NTH FLO		DAWSON, GLENN K		
IRVINE, C	A 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1000				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/435,562	VAN DER BURG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Glenn K Dawson	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2002					
	is action is non-final.					
,		osecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-45 and 51-100</u> is/are pending in the						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-45 and 51-100</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>38-45 and 51-100</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
,	ariiii or					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
•		on No				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	ovisional application has been rec ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	eived.) and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/435,562

Art Unit: 3761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 38-45,51-62,65,67,72-79,82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huebsch, et al.-5853422.

Huebsch discloses a device having expandable struts covered by a mesh or cloth covering, analogous to applicant's claimed endothelialization membrane. The struts are movable from a parallel configuration to a ramped configuration, see figs. 2-4. Barbs are present on each of the struts.

Claims 67,68,84,85,94 and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uddin-'431.

Uddin discloses a device having expandable struts with a porous web stretched therebetween. Barbs are present on the ends of these struts.

Application/Control Number: 09/435,562

Art Unit: 3761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 61-66,72-83,89-93 and 96-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uddin-'431 in view of Huebsch-'422.

Uddin discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the materials of the mesh and struts.

Huebsch discloses said materials. It would have been obvious to have used the materials disclosed by Huebsch to make the analogous parts of Uddin's device, as these materials have been shown to be beneficial when constructing a medical device having the required flexibilities and porosities necessary to function as disclosed. As for the e-PTFE and the Dacron, these are merely deemed to be obvious alternatives of the disclosed materials which would have been known to one skilled in the art due to their known flexibility or porosity.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 63,64,66,68-71,80,81 and 84-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huebsch-'422.

Huebsch discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the claimed materials.

It would have been obvious to have used the claimed materials as alternatives to those specifically disclosed by Huebsch, as these materials would have been known to one skilled in the art to be beneficial when constructing a medical device having the required flexibilities and porosities necessary to function as disclosed. As for the e-PTFE and the Dacron, these are merely deemed to be obvious alternatives of the disclosed materials which would have been known to one skilled in the art due to their known flexibility or porosity.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-17-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Uddin discloses in col. 4 lines 23-25 that the material of the membrane may be porous to filter fluids, thus disclosing a membrane capable of providing an endothelialization substrate.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761